Making Today a Better Tomorrow

Charoen Pokphand Group

Stakeholder **Engagement** Report 2022











TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary 3

Stakeholder Engagement					
Stakeholder Engagement Framework	4				
Materiality Assessment and	5				
Stakeholder Engagement					
Stakeholder Engagement Plan	6				
Stakeholder Suggestion	10				

Stakeholder Engagement Survey	11
Survey Process	12
Methodology	13
Survey Demographic	14
Survey Result 2021-2022	15

Engagement by Stakeholder Group 19					
Employees and Families	20				
Customers and Consumers	22				
Farmers	24				
Business Partners	26				
Communities and Societies	28				
Government	30				
Shareholders and Investors	32				
Non-governmental Organizations	34				
Media	36				
Competitors	38				
Creditors	40				
Listen to Our Stakeholder	42				
1 / March	N. J.				
Appendix:	45				

46

Questionnaire



Stakeholder Engagement by Stakeholder < 3 Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022 **Executive Summary** Engagement **Engagement Survey** Stakeholder Group **Appendix**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY





Charoen Pokphand Group aspires to be a sustainable organization capable of providing equal value to all stakeholders, including both beneficiaries and those who may be adversely affected, and of maintaining stakeholders' trust and confidence in the company. As a result, there is a continuous process of monitoring both beneficiaries' and those who may be adversely affected' perceptions to ensure their continued participation with the organization.

Charoen Pokphand Group performed a stakeholder engagement survey in 2022 to assess the extent of engagement. The questionnaires included the effectiveness of sustainability development, business communication, and general satisfaction. A survey on material issues was also included in the 2022 survey. This is to comprehend stakeholders' perspectives and concerns about the effects of the firm's actions on society and the environment, as well as how the company plans to minimize the effects. Furthermore, C.P. Group conducted in-depth interviews with representatives from seven stakeholder groups in order to better understand their perspectives on C.P. Group operations. The study had 16,425 respondents in total, with the following significant findings:

Key Findings

Overall Engagement Score (%)





Top 3 Highest Engagement Scores



88%

Media



Government 87%

85%





Bottom 3 Lowest Engagement Scores



Competitors 54%

Farmers 76%

Consumers 76%

80%

86%

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT FRAMEWORKS

As a strategic strategy to create meaningful engagement and collaboration with our stakeholders across the value chain, Charoen Pokphand Group has implemented a stakeholder engagement framework in compliance with the AA1000 Stakeholder Engagement Standard. The framework's three core concepts are inclusiveness, materiality, and responsiveness.




C.P. Group assessed the key stakeholders who are expected to be affected during this reporting period. There are 11 stakeholder groups, the same number as previous year. Furthermore, in order to stimulate meaningful engagement with stakeholders, the Group has created a stakeholder engagement guideline in accordance with the AA1000 standard, which applies to all of our Group's operations.

11 groups of stakeholders

4. Act, Review and Improve

- Monitor and evaluate engagement through survey
- Develop and follow up on action
- Report engagement to the public



1. Plan

- Determine engagement methods
- Establish and communicate boundaries of disclosure
- Draft engagement plan

3. Implement

- Organize engagement activities according to plan
- Record performance

2. Prepare

- Mobilize resources according to plan
- Build engagement capacity
- Identify and prepare for engagement risks



Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix

MATERIALITY ASSESSMENT AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The Charoen Pokphand Group is made up of diverse businesses that operate in 21 countries and economies. As a result, the materiality evaluation must be reviewed on a regular basis to assist in identifying current difficulties, adapting corporate strategy as needed, and effectively strengthening the group's sustainability strategy. In the study, 23 identified sustainability issues were presented to stakeholders, covering three dimensions of sustainability: Environment, Social, and Economic, and we asked stakeholders to identify the top

five issues that are of concern to them. Furthermore, stakeholders were asked to rate the severity of impact, and likelihood of the identified issues.

The results of the 2022 survey found that environmental management & protection, climate resilience and GHG emissions, waste management and circular economy, nature protection and restoration and education and life-long learning are the top five topics that stakeholders considered most important.

When considering the opinions of employee, it found that the survey results were in the same direction, while education and life-long learning were not among the top five for external stakeholders seen as the most important

We can conclude that the materiality mapping exercise has helped us in making informed decisions and strengthened our stakeholder engagement strategy.



Materiality Topics	Internal Stakeholder	External Stakeholders									
	Employees and Families	Customers and Consumers	Farmers	Business Partners	Communities and Societies		\$ Shareholders and Investors	NGOs	((•) A Media	Competitors	Creditors
Environmental Management	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Climate Resilience	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
Waste Management	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•
Nature Protection	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		
Education and Life-long Learning	•										

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN



The stakeholder engagement process is one of the key processes in the Charoen Pokphand Group's sustainable business operations, and the Group reviews its stakeholder group on a regular basis, taking into account the impact of the Group's operations, both positive and negative, on each group of stakeholders, as well as the impact or interest of the stakeholders. Furthermore, mechanisms for increasing stakeholder participation have been devised and are being updated on a regular basis to ensure that the Group has a comprehensive set of tools and procedures that are suited for all groups of stakeholders.

Furthermore, C.P. Group makes the stakeholder engagement process public in order to encourage transparency and verifiability. The recommendations received from all stakeholders are integrated into the organization's strategy risk factor analysis and assessing key sustainability issues to develop and create a better tomorrow.

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
Employees and Families	Communication through supervisors, Group announcements, personnel representatives Projects for different employees Annual satisfaction survey Performance evaluation, channels for receiving suggestions or complaints	 At least once a year Periodically Annual Regularly 	 Potential development and career advancement Implementation of human rights principles such as equality, diversity Working environment and occupational health and safety 	 Continuous development of employee potential according to the Workforce 4.0 strategy Promote diversity and inclusion guidelines. Implement of OHS management standards.
Customers and Consumers	 Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Products and after sales service Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Periodically Periodically Annual Regularly 	 Development of products and services that are good for health and safe for consumers Product Traceability Product information and after-sales service Security of personal information 	 Develop products that promote good health and well-being for consumers of each age group. Develop product traceability system show product information product label with accuracy Define personal information protection policies and practices

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
Farmers	Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints	 At least once a year Periodically Annual Regularly 	Farmer Potential Promotion Access to resources adequately and quickly Income guarantee Fair business practices	Supporting sustainable agriculture to reduce environmental impact Promote technology in order to develop quality products and adding a variety of distribution channels Guaranteed source of income Prepare contracts that are fair in accordance with laws and regulations.
Business Partners	 Knowledge sharing and discussions through meetings Collaboration through various projects Promotion and learning center Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Periodically Regularly Annual Regularly 	Promotion of business partners' potential in conducting business efficiently and systematically Human rights protectio and environmental impact reduction at every stage of the supply chain Conducting business fairly and respecting the environment and society in making business decisions	according to the sustainable procurement policy. • Announcement of business ethics for

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
Communities and Societies	 Discussions through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies Collaboration through various projects Promotion and learning center Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Periodically Regularly Annual Regularly 	 Participation in promoting community quality of life Promoting employment in the community Business Effects towards society, community and environment 	 Organize a project to improve the quality of life of the community. including vulnerable groups Support employment and building businesses in the community Prepare a project to participate in conservation. Restoration of ecosystems and biodiversity.
Governments	 Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Periodically Annual Regularly 	Compliance with relevant laws and regulations Being an example in business with social responsibility and environment Complete, transparent and timely disclosure of operating information	 Follow up and strictly comply with relevant laws and regulations. Collaborate and support the development of projects that benefit the community and the country. Communicate and disclose information completely, transparently and quickly. ready to listen to suggestions for improvement

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
Shareholders and Investors	relevant agencies.	 At least once a year Periodically Annually Regularly 	Corporate governance Risk management Proper disclosure of operating information Transparent and up-to-date Increasing the ability to compete in business and business direction	Conduct business according to good governance, company regulations Including the resolutions of the shareholders' meeting Announcement of policies and guidelines for risk management Disclose operating results with transparency. Complies with international standards Isten to feedback from shareholders and investors

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
NGOs	 Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Periodically Annually Regularly 	 The impact of business operations on society, communities and the environment Business operations for social development Complete, transparent and timely disclosure of information to the public 	 Listen to opinions and discuss ways to prevent impact together. Supporting projects that benefit the community Communicate and disclose useful information to those involved. consistently and transparently
Competitors	Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints	 At least once a year Periodically Annually Regularly 	Compliance with Competition Ethics, Laws and Trade Regulations	Follow the rules/ ethics of good competition. Provide a supervision system to ensure that no action is taken to obtain trade secrets of competitors Do not damage the reputation of competitors by slander without truth.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN

Group	Engagement Channels	Frequency	Key Concerns	Actions and Responses
Media	Communication and discussion through meetings by representatives from relevant agencies. Operations through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaint	 At least once a year Periodically Annually Regularly 	Corporate governance Complete, transparent and timely disclosure of operating information The impact of business operations on society, communities and the environment Implementation of social development projects	 Treat the media with good relations. Provide useful information based on facts and keep up with events Follow information and news to be used as part of the determination. future operational guidelines
Creditors	 Communication and discussion through meetings Accounting report Collaboration through various projects Annual satisfaction survey Channels for receiving suggestions or complaints 	 At least once a year Annually Periodically Annually Regularly 	 Corporate governance Transparent disclosure of performance and efficient Strengthening Business Competition 	 Conduct business according to the law good governance Objectives and Articles of Association Strictly comply with creditors' conditions. Collaborate with relevant departments to improve operational efficiency.



STAKEHOLDER SUGGESTION



Employees and Families

- Improve on innovation, technology and stakeholder engagement.
- Develop communication channels in the organization to be easily accessible and inclusive for everyone within the company.
- Develop the promotion of skills and knowledge of people.
- Retain talented people in the organization.



Customers and Consumers

- Support the use of valuable natural resources, and reduce waste from production.
- Listen to the opinions of customers regularly.
- Generate profits to society in all economic, social, and environmental dimensions.



Business Partners

- Increase more cooperation with partners in environmental efforts
- Focus on creating sustainability management guidelines or standards for contractors to cover a variety of businesses.



Farmers

- Promote more practical guidance.
- Follow up with the changing trends of consumers in order for the farmer to be able to produce the products according to the company's needs and market changes.



Communities and Societies

- Always support and participate in activities with the community.
- Support nearby schools and communities through its CSR program and create more job opportunities to the local people.
- Assign responsible CSR team to assist the community and maintain communication.



Gorvernments

- Set up forum to listen and share knowledge with each stakeholder group.
- Provide budget support for social development.
- Maintain the sustainable operation to create long-term value.

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey



Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Executive Summary

Stakeholder Engagement Stakeholder **Engagement Survey**

Engagement by Stakeholder Group

Appendix

SURVEY PROCESS

Charoen Pokphand Group recognized the importance to Act, Review, and Improve our engagement with stakeholders. Thus, the systematic stakeholder engagement survey is developed as a significant process to evaluate quality of our engagement on annual basis.

In 2022, we have conducted stakeholder engagement survey based on the following survey process. The process is designed based on international standards, the research of globally recognized practices, as well as the existing engagement surveys of our businesses. We have carried out the survey via an online platform through the collaboration of our business units' management representatives. This is to ensure that it covered the appropriate number of participants and was conducted in a transparent manner. Once the result is analyzed, we reported to our management executives and the business units to form strategic directions that improves our engagement. Finally, the engagement mechanisms and the results are publicly reported to all stakeholders.

Survey Process

2. METHODOLOGY & GUIDELINE

- Develop survey methodology
- Develop questionnaire and guideline
- Engage with business units to finalize methodology, questionnaire and guideline

4. DATA ANALYSIS

- Analyze survey results
- Prepare a survey summary report



1. PLAN

- Research on international standard for multi-stakeholder survey
- Review current stakeholder survey of core business units
- Conduct survey action plan

3. SURVEY

- Conduct online survey platform
- Launch survey via Management Representative
- Follow up on survey response

5. COMMUNICATION

- Communicate the result to stakeholders:
 - Sustainability Report
 - Stakeholder Engagement Report

METHODOLOGY



Charoen Pokphand Group surveyed 16,425 people in ten countries during March to April 2023, proportionate to the number of people from each stakeholder group. It is calculated to ensure that the survey covers the appropriate number of samples covering all C.P. Group's businesses. The survey was conducted through an online platform and we also conducted in-depth interviews with representative of seven stakeholder groups and these interviews are quoted in this report.

The purpose of this survey was to evaluate Charoen Pokphand Group's stakeholder engagement by measuring three specific criteria, which will be combined to calculate a stakeholder engagement score. These criteria are:



Sustainability Effectiveness



Corporate Communication



Overall Satisfaction

Questionnaire



Section 1 **SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE**

1.1 Effectiveness of Company's Sustainability Development 15 Topics under Sustainability Strategy.





















Effective is the company's process of:

2.1 Communication

2.2 Listening

2.3 Responding



Section 3 **OVERALL SATISFACTION**

3.1 Overall satisfaction with the company?

Remark: The stakeholder engagement score is the sum of the top two boxes: 'moderately Engaged and highly engaged' from three sections as aforementioned.

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix

SURVEY DEMOGRAPHIC 2022




Survey Demographic


Number of Participant


16,425

people

By Age








SURVEY RESULT 2021-2022

Engagement Score by Stakeholder (2021 - 2022)

Overall Engagement Score





Key Summary


When comparing the results between 2021 and 2022 by stakeholder groups, it was found that the engagement scores of most stakeholders had slightly dropped. However, there was a significant drop of 33% in competitor scores from the 2021 survey. This could be attributed to the fact that most of them chose a neutral scale, indicating limited awareness of the company's operations.

On the other hand, it was observed that three groups, namely NGOs, media, and creditors, had higher scores (16%, 10%, and 7%, respectively) due to enhanced transparency and accountability within the Group. These results emphasize the immediate need for attention and action to address concerns and regain stakeholder engagement.


Based on the survey results, the Group will adjust its corporate strategy and sustainability stratgy, especially regarding the participation of each stakeholder group with slightly lower scores.

SURVEY RESULT 2021-2022

Score by Sections (2021 - 2022)







Key Summary

Looking into the results of each section; comparing to the scores from 2021, it was found that most of the scores have increased. However, competitor scores dropped significantly in all three areas, which resulted in a decrease in overall engagement scores. For 2022 results in three sections:

- Sustainability Effectiveness and Overall Satisfaction: Creditors has the highest score (85% and 100% respectively), whereas competitors has the lowest score (69% and 50%).
- Corporate Communication: The group with the highest score is government (88%), while competitors has the lowest score (55%).

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix

SURVEY RESULT 2021-2022

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Topics



In the 2022 survey, it was found that the topic where respondents viewed the company as having the most effective operational outcome as follow:

Top Four 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

3 Corp

Corporate Governance 85%



Cybersecurity & Data Protection 84%



Human Rights & Labor Practices 82%



Innovation 82%

When the data from 2021 were compared, it was shown that corporate governance is the most performance-related factor. In general, most challenges will have the same performance level in 2021. Water stewardship, ecosystem and biodiversity conservation, health and well-being, the circular economy, and corporate governance, on the other hand, received a lower score.

Due to enterprises continually adopting projects linked to these concerns and improving their communication channels, stakeholders have chosen the four subjects outlined above as the most successful in 2022. As a result, stakeholders who could have been adversely affected are faring better.



Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix

SURVEY RESULT 2022

< 50	50-59	60-69	70-79	> 80
------	-------	-------	-------	------

C.P. GROUP SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE	Employees and Familian	Customers and Consumers	Farmers	Business Partners	Communities and Societies	Governments	Shareholders and Investors	NGOs	((c)) Media	Competitors	Creditors
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	88	85	82	90	83	89	81	90	86	66	100
HUMAN RIGHTS & LABOR PRACTICES	84	83	82	88	83	85	81	87	83	60	100
EDUCATION AND INEQUALITY	83	80	78	88	79	84	76	86	81	71	88
HUMAN CAPITAL AND LEADERSHIP DEV.	80	77	73	85	76	82	70	84	78	60	100
CYBERSECURITY AND DATA PROTECTION	86	83	80	89	81	87	78	89	85	76	100
HEALTH & WELL-BEING	77	76	73	82	75	80	70	81	76	51	93
SOCIAL IMPACT AND ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION	82	78	77	84	77	83	73	84	79	73	88
FOOD SECURITY AND ACCESS TO NUTRITION	82	77	74	84	76	82	73	82	82	72	100
INNOVATION MANAGEMENT	83	80	79	88	80	83	77	87	82	71	100
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	80	78	75	84	75	83	74	84	79	40	86
CLIMATE RESILIENCE	81	80	75	85	80	81	74	81	81	73	88
CIRCULAR ECONOMY	80	79	68	83	79	81	53	82	80	50	86
WATER STEWARDSHIP	82	75	74	85	74	83	73	84	76	69	86
ECOSYSTEM AND BIODIVERSITY PROTECTION	78	74	73	84	74	80	71	80	75	47	86
RESPONSIBLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT	82	80	76	88	78	82	74	83	81	73	88
CORPORATE COMMUNICATION											
COMMUNICATION PROCESS	83	84	81	84	90	92	88	91	90	71	83
LISTENING PROCESS	80	75	74	75	77	88	83	78	86	43	71
RESPONDING PROCESS	79	73	73	76	72	89	83	87	86	50	83
OVERALL SATISFACTION											
OVERALL SATISFACTION	78	73	75	80	82	86	83	82	88	50	100



Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix




EMPLOYEES AND FAMILIES

OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022



SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS



CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION





Key Summary

In the 2022 results, it was found that the engagement score of employees and families reached the target at 80%. The sustainability effectiveness achieved the highest score at 83%, followed by the corporate communication score at 81%, and the lowest was the overall satisfaction score at 78%.


Comparing the results from 2021 and 2022, it was found that the scores of sustainability effectiveness and corporate communication have increased by 1% and 2% respectively, while the scores of overall engagement and overall satisfaction have decreased by 1% and 4% respectively.

However, there was a slight decrease in the responding process. As a result, we have devised a plan to improve communication channels with our employees and ensure that everyone is well-informed and connected. This will help strengthen the Group's relationship with our employees.



EMPLOYEES AND FAMILIES

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Employees and Families



Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

🔒 Corp

Corporate Governance 88%

Cybersecurity & Data Protection 86%



Human Rights & Labor Practices 84%

Comparison between the survey results in 2021 and 2022 found that:

- Majority of scores have increased, especially in education & inequality and leadership & human capital development by 5% and 4% respectively.
- However, the scores of effectiveness of health & well-being, ecosystem and biodiversity, social impact & economic contribution, and climate resilience have decrease by 6%, 3%, 2% and 1% respectively.

Key Action:

- Formulated and published the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Policy, which contains comprehensive guidelines that correspond to international standards
- Developed the C.P Love Platform to encourage employee engagement from all business groups.



More information is available on

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix




CUSTOMERS AND CONSUMERS

OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022



SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS




CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION





Key Summary

According to the 2022 statistics, customer and consumer engagement was 76%, which was lower than the aim. The highest score was 78% for corporate communication, followed by 77% for sustainability effectiveness and 73% for overall satisfaction.


When the findings from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that corporate communication scores grew by 3%, while overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, and overall satisfaction scores declined by 4%, 2%, and 13%, respectively.

The primary goal of C.P. Group is to improve communication channels in order to raise awareness of the company's sustainability efforts. It also attempts to incorporate consumer interests throughout operations in order to ensure that the company's products and services continue to exceed their expectations.



CUSTOMERS AND CONSUMERS

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Customers and Consumers



Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

🔒 Corp

Corporate Governance 85%

Human Rights & Labor Practices 83%

(2)

Cybersecurity & Data Protection 83%

Comparison between the survey results in 2021 and 2022 found that:

- Majority of scores have increased from 2021, especially in circular economy and climate resilience, which have shown improvements of 10% and 9% respectively.
- However, the scores for the effectiveness of social impact & economic contribution and health & well-being have significantly decreased by 9% and 7% respectively.

Key Action 2022:


- Collecting post-consumer plastic packaging waste for recycling project.
- Developed a proactive approach in communicating and displaying information on food and other products to offer correct, accurate and up-to-date consumption knowledge.




More information is available on



OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022



SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS




CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION

OVERALL



Key Summary


According to the 2022 findings, farmer engagement is 76%, which is lower than the aim. The highest score is 77% for sustainability effectiveness, followed by 76% for corporate communication and 75% for overall satisfaction.

When the findings from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the scores for sustainability effectiveness climbed by 5%, while the ratings for overall engagement, corporate communication, and overall satisfaction declined by 2%, 4%, and 7%, respectively.

It is critical to improve contact channels that appeal to different groups of farmers in order to increase farmer satisfaction. This includes concentrating on the listening and responding processes in order to offer clear and correct information. It is also critical to address their individual worries and challenges.



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Farmers



Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 82%

Human Rights & Labor Practices 82%

Cybersecurity & Data Protection 80%

When comparing the survey results between 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, particularly corporate governance, human rights and labor practices, and education & inequality, which saw significant increases compared to 2021 by 19%, 15%, and 14% respectively.
- However, there were two topics that experienced a decrease in scores: circular economy and ecosystem and biodiversity protection, which declined by 16% and 7% respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Supported and improved livelihoods of farmers and fishers such as developing pig
 farming and agricultural lands to solve poverty and cooperated with partner organizations to establish action plans and goals to improve the quality of life of fishers and their
 families.
- Collaborated with partners to reduce social inequality such as "National Food Bank" project.



More information is available on

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group


Appendix




OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022



SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS





OVERALL SATISFACTION



Key Summary


The engagement score of business partners was deemed to be on target at 80% for the 2022 results. The highest score is given to sustainability effectiveness at 83%, followed by overall satisfaction at 80% and corporate communication at 78%.

When the data from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the score for sustainability effectiveness stayed unchanged in 2021, while the scores for overall engagement, corporate communication, and overall satisfaction declined by 5%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

C.P. Group will strengthen communication channels with all types of business partners and ensure that they are realistic. As a result, communication frequency and C.P. Group's ability to respond to our business partners will be increased.



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Business Partners



Top Six 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 90%

© Cyber Security & Data Protection 89%

Human Rights and Labor Practices 88%

Education & Inequality 88%

® Responsible Supply Chain Management 88%

(P) Innovation 88%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, particularly education & inequality, stakeholder engagement, and ecosystem and biodiversity protection, which saw improvements of 9%, 7%, and 7% respectively.
- However, there are two topics that scored slightly decreased are health & well-being and circular economy by 3% and 2%, respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Communication/Training on supplier code of conduct for Tier 1 sustainability high-risk suppliers (100%).
- Developed the responsible supply chain management guidelines, which covers supplier treatment practice, performance evaluation and also supplier capacity development.
- Developed a water management guideline for suppliers to use water efficiently and reduce the risk of water scarcity.



More information is available on

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix




COMMUNITIES AND SOCIETIES


OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS



CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION



Key Summary

The engagement score of communities and societies exceeded the aim at 83% in the 2022 findings. Corporate communication had the highest grade of 85%, followed by sustainability effectiveness at 83%. Overall satisfaction received the lowest score of 82%.


When the findings from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the ratings for sustainability effectiveness and corporate communication grew by 3% and 2%, respectively, while the scores for overall engagement and satisfaction declined by 2% and 10%, respectively.


However, it was discovered that the results of the listening process are decreasing beginning in 2021, so the company strives to adjust the communication form to suit the characteristics of each community and monitors according to the specified frequency.



COMMUNITIES AND SOCIETIES

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Communities and Societies





Top Four 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 90%

© Cyber Security & Data Protection 89%

Human Rights and Labor Practices 87%

(P) Innovation 87%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, with stakeholder engagement, innovation, and corporate governance experiencing significant increases of 16%, 11%, and 11%, respectively.
- However, there were two topics that saw a decrease in scores: water stewardship and ecosystem and biodiversity protection, both of which decreased by 6%.

Key Action 2022:

- Promoted lifelong learning opportunity such as career guidance to generate income,
 offers knowledge and competence development.
- Collaborated with partners in various sectors, including the government, private sector and communities, to promote community access to clean and safe water sources.



More information is available on

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group


Appendix




OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)



ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022



SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS



42%

20%

OVERALL SATISFACTION

RESPONDING



47%

89%

Key Summary


For the 2022 results, the government engagement score exceeded the target, reaching 85%. The highest score was 88% for corporate communication, followed by 86% for overall satisfaction. The lowest score was 82% for sustainability effectiveness.

When the data from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the scores for corporate communication climbed by 2% from 2021. However, the rankings for overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, and overall satisfaction declined by 4%, 8%, and 5%, respectively, from the 2021 findings.

The Group intends to continue to engage the government while also increasing communication, particularly in the listening process, to ensure that they are communicated and connected, particularly in terms of our sustainability effectiveness. **Executive Summary**



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Government



Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 89%

Cyber Security & Data Protection 87%

Human Rights and Labor Practice 85%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed a decreased scores in health & well-being and education & inequality were significantly increased at 18% and 12%, respectively.
- Furthermore, there are three topics that experienced an increase in scores: water stewardship, leadership & human capital development, and climate resilience, with improvements of 4%, 2%, and 2%, respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Collaborated and supported the development of projects that benefit the community and the country.
- Communicated and disclosed information completely, transparently and quickly, and ready to listen to suggestions for improvement.



More information is available on

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey


Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group Appendix




SHAREHOLDERS AND INVESTORS


OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS



CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION



Key Summary

The involvement score of shareholders and investors exceeded the aim for the 2022 results, achieving 81%. Corporate communication received the best score of 85%, followed by overall satisfaction at 83%. The lowest score was 76% for sustainability effectiveness.


When the results from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the scores for corporate communication had increased by 1%. However, the scores for overall involvement, sustainability effectiveness, and overall satisfaction have declined by 5%, 8%, and 8%, respectively, from the 2021 results.


C.P. Group intends to focus on creating knowledge about sustainability performance, particularly the key concerns that shareholders care about, in order to ensure that their interests are incorporated into our long-term development.



SHAREHOLDERS AND INVESTORS

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Shareholders and Investors





Top Four 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 90%

© Cyber Security & Data Protection 89%

Human Rights and Labor Practices 87%


Innovation 87%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, particularly in ecosystem and biodiversity protection, water stewardship, and circular economy, which saw significant increased of 11%, 8%, and 7%, respectively.
- However, there were three topics that experienced a significant decrease in scores: health & well-being, water stewardship, and responsible supply chain management, with declined by 12%, 6%, and 6%, respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Formulated the Group subsidiary governance framework and organized training to educate and enable subsidiaries to adopt the framework.
- Audited the effectiveness of the implementation of the anti-bribery and anti-corruption policy and guidelines of our non-listed subsidiaries.





Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group

Appendix




NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOs)

OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS



CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION



Key Summary

The engagement score of shareholders and investors in 2022 was determined to be somewhat below the target at 79%. Overall satisfaction has the highest score of 82%, while sustainability effectiveness and corporate communication have the same score of 78%.


When the findings from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the scores for all categories had grown by 15%, 16%, 20%, and 11%, respectively: overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, corporate communication, and overall satisfaction.


The C.P. Group, on the other hand, continues to prioritize engagement with NGOs, including sharing sustainability initiatives, particularly on the topics they prioritize and the key projects that the company is driving and that benefit the country and society.



NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOs)

Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by NGOs





Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 83%

Human Rights and Labor Practice 83%

Cyber Security & Data Protection 81%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, particularly in stakeholder engagement, food security & access to nutrition, and ecosystem and biodiversity protection, which saw significant increases of 26%, 23%, and 23%, respectively.
- However, there were only two topics that experienced a slight decrease in scores: cybersecurity & data protection and climate resilience, with declined of 2% and 1%, respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Performed a human rights risk assessment review.
- Disclosed information on nature according to TNFD Framework
- Cooperated and build alliances with various partners continually for sustainable development.




More information is available on






OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS




CORPORATE COMMUNICATION



OVERALL SATISFACTION







Key Summary

For the 2022 results, it was found that the engagement score of the media is higher than the target at 86%. The overall satisfaction is considered the highest score at 88%, followed by corporate communication and sustainability effectiveness at 87% and 84% respectively. Comparing the results from 2021 and 2022, it was found that the scores for overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, and corporate communication have increased significantly by 9%, 13%, and 15% respectively. However, the scores for overall satisfaction remained unchanged from 2021 by 88%. Hence, the C.P. Group will focus on the top three issues prioritized by the media to ensure that their interests are incorporated into our Group Sustainability Development.



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Media





Top Three 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Corporate Governance 86%

Cyber Security & Data Protection 85%

Human Rights and Labor Practice 83%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics showed an increase in scores, with human rights and labor practices and cyber security & data protection experiencing significant increases of 21% and 16%, respectively.
- However, there are three topics that have shown a decrease in scores: health & well-being, climate resilience, and innovation (7%, 3%, and 1%, respectively).

Key Action 2022:

- Provided information related to C.P. Group's products and services on timely manner and with creditability.
- Multi-channel communication and continuously evaluate the efficiency of communication.



More information is available on

Charoen Pokphand Group Sustainability Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group

Appendix


55%




OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)



ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS




55%

CORPORATE COMMUNICATION

OVERALL



OVERALL SATISFACTION



Key Summary

For the 2022 results, it was discovered that competitors' engagement score is significantly lower than the aim, at 58%. Furthermore, due to most competitors submitting ratings on the moderate scale, all sections: sustainability effectiveness, corporate communication, and overall satisfaction are 69%, 55%, and 50% below the target, respectively.

When the findings from 2021 and 2022 were compared, it was discovered that the overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, corporate communication, and overall satisfaction scores had declined by 33%, 21%, 38%, and 39%, respectively.


The strategy for increasing competitor interaction has to be evaluated in order to better represent the process of sharing what the Group is already doing to engage with competitors.

Executive Summary



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Competitors

2021 2022



Top Four 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness are:

Cyber Security & Data Protection 76%



Social Impact & Economic Contribution 73%



Climate Resilience 73%



Responsible Supply Chain 73%

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

All topics have experienced a decrease in scores, with stakeholder engagement, ecosystem and biodiversity protection, and health & well-being exhibiting significant increased by 50%, 43%, and 42%, respectively.

Key Action 2022:

- Conducted business in a manner that respects laws, regulations, and industry norms, ensuring a level playing field for all competitors.
- Provided various channels for filing complaints, including through a website, telephone, and even postal mail, for example.



More information is available on

Charoen Pokphand Group Sustainability Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group


Appendix



OVERALL ENGAGEMENT (2021-2122)




ENGAGEMENT SCORE Year 2022




SUSTAINABILITY EFFECTIVENESS





OVERALL SATISFACTION





Key Summary

The engagement score of creditors was determined to be greater than the aim for the 2022 outcomes, at 88%. Overall satisfaction receives the greatest rating of 100%, followed by sustainability effectiveness at 85%, while corporate communication receives the lowest rating of 80%.


When the outcomes from 2021 and 2022 were compared, the overall engagement, sustainability effectiveness, and overall satisfaction levels climbed by 7%, 9%, and 17%, respectively. However, the score for corporate communication has fallen by 2%.


Improve communication channels with creditors by providing clear and timely information. Address any issues or questions as soon as possible and in a transparent manner.

Executive Summary



Sustainability Effectiveness Scores by Creditors





The Top 2022 Sustainability Effectiveness with 100% rating are:

Corporate Governance

Human Rights and Labor Practice

Leadership & Human Capital Development

Cyber Security & Data Protection

Food Security & Access to Nutrition

Innovation

When compared the results in 2021 and 2022, it was found that:

- Majority of the topics have experienced an increase in scores, with human rights and labor practices, food security & access to nutrition, and leadership & human capital development exhibiting significant increases of 51%, 30%, and 29% respectively.
- The only topic that has shown a decrease in scores is the circular economy, which decreased by 3%.

Key Action 2022:

- Conducted business according to the law good governance Objectives and Articles of Association
- Strictly complied with creditors' conditions.



More information is available on

Charoen Pokphand Group Sustainability Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement by Stakeholder 42 Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022 **Executive Summary** Engagement **Engagement Survey** Stakeholder Group Appendix


LISTEN TO OUR STAKEHOLDER

Mr. Thanapon Wiriyasansakul

Siam Makro Public Company Limited



Employees and Families



Regarding sustainability, the Company has done quite well in the environmental dimension. It is making expansions in the online sales business which contributes to lowering pollution from transportation. Accordingly, the Transportation Management System was developed to enable more efficiency in planning the shortest distance for transporting goods to customers.

However, responsible supply chain management presents a challenge for Siam Makro as the Company does not have its own production line. Therefore, if we act as an influencer for our suppliers in complying with sustainability requirements, we can contribute to the acceleration of the society's growth because sustainability matters to all. In terms of communication process, I hope that people who visit our outlets will remember Makro's image as a sustainable store.

Lastly, I would like each CP Group business to share their strengths; for example, CPF's strong point in food security and Siam Makro's in sustainable sourcing. With support and encouragement, we can create a clear common position for the Group's diverse businesses, which should more or less be interesting and attractive for the new generation.

Mr. Thonglor Worachat

President of Baan Thammachart Lang Community



Communities and Societies



Charoen Pokphand Group has provided us with many opportunities through collaborative projects. The community's strength alone would not have brought us this far. I feel that our village is not alone as Charoen Pokphand has created jobs at a time when marine resources have become scarcer while income has become unstable and inconsistent with the cost of living. I think that with the Company's support, we have received our opportunity. The Company has also provided support in other areas, such as bio-organic fertilizers and tourism. Based on my direct experience, the support is truly of high quality.

Afterwards, representatives from CPF also supported us by informing the Company's senior management that the village wishes to further extend the Community Drinking Water Project to improve drinking water quality and enable competition with other villages or sub-districts. Additionally, the Company has provided support in the extension of the Tourism Community Enterprise Project by sponsoring betel nut cladding machines to produce containers that can replace Styrofoam boxes as betel nuts are common in the village and as we target to reduce Styrofoam boxes and waste pollution. This has largely attracted the interest of tourists. Our village still has many more resources that can be presented to tourists in our capacity as a community enterprise. If we had not been given the opportunity and support from Charoen Pokphand, we might not have been able to keep up with tourist demands as we are a small group. Therefore, such opportunity has allowed us to fully enter the field of tourism.

Blanca Grev

WBCSD



NGOs



Given the nature of the business, CP Group should be focusing on the issue of responsible supply chain management. You engage with a significant number of suppliers, therefore, having in place an effective engagement strategy that allows collaboration along your supply chain and ultimately contributes to sourcing materials in a sustainable manner is critical for the business.

At the same time, the CP Group's sustainability disclosures demonstrate that the Group has been doing a lot of work with regards to ensuring food security and food affordability. On the one hand, helping tackle hunger and malnutrition and on the other ensuring food supply is uninterrupted.

I think your communication processes are effective. However, what we've seen from other companies is the inclusion of more interactive content online, making more use of their website to communicate and reach out to different audiences.

Our relationship with CP Group has worked well. CP Group featured as an example of good practice and was highlighted as one of the top performers in the 2022 Reporting matters publication. We want to continue working with and supporting CP Group to keep improving the effectiveness of their sustainability disclosures, so that the group can once again be highlighted as one of our top performers within the Asia Pacific region.


LISTEN TO OUR STAKEHOLDER

Mr. Chokchai Montreeamornchet

Siam Cement Public Company Limited (SCG)



Business Partners



Looking ahead into the future, SCG has a department to directly address circular economy which will make 100% of the world's plastic recyclable. Meanwhile, CP, overseeing consumerrelated industry, might consider announcing that 30% of all future plastic products in both food and non-food categories will be composed of PCR. Such collaboration will certainly be viable as we both have



partnerships with numerous global companies. If CP could do it, it would be remarkable. If CP could aim to achieve such goal within 3 or 5 years and if such collaboration occurred and a clear policy on closed loop recycling system was announced, it could lead to a collaborationat the corporate level. Finally, we must work together on EPR (Expanded Producer Responsibility) which have already been implemented by EU and Japan. In the future, EPR will be legally imposed to mandate product take-back by manufacturers. Its successful implementation will mean that 100% of the country's waste will be recycled, collected and reutilized, with none left for landfill disposal like nowadays. If we could work together, it would greatly improve the environment. As a matter of fact, we may even be more advanced than other countries.

Additionally, SCG is more than welcome to provide any additional support to expand the scope of our cooperation. I believe that if both organizations joined forces, we could create more contributions to the society and the world. I would also prefer that a workshop be organized so that the two organizations could discuss and make joint commitments on pilot projects that could be expanded in the years to come.

Ms. Natthinee Netampai

Unilever Thailand Company Limited



Customers and Consumers



Furthermore, Unilever and CP's executives have had the opportunity to meet in many forums. We have learnt from such meetings that CP's Sustainability Strategy is similar to ours, with focus on three aspects, namely planet, people, and well-being. From what I have seen on the Company's website, I think that CP has done a very good job in terms of circular economy. For instance, the Company has been working on food waste reduction and campaigning for food packaging to be returned to drop points at CP retail stores which has resulted in the recycling of 88% of the packaging. Moreover, as Unilever has declared the Climate Transition Action

Plan to reduce carbon dioxide emissions to net zero by 2039 and as we are partners, CP will fall under Unilever's Scope 3 emissions. Therefore, CP's plastic reduction projects would mean reducing carbon dioxide emissions as well. This is a win-win situation for both sides, and I applaud CP for this.

CP may need to make minor improvements in terms of climate action, which is a new issue for Thailand. as I feel that we have not learnt much about when the Company intends to advance towards net zero. In addition, for some projects that have been proposed, I would like CP to consider and cooperate with Unilever in adjusting our partnership plan to cover a larger picture, conducting a business strategy analysis and aligning it with the government's plan to see how both companies can implement such projects to meet the needs of the country's development plans. In this regard, both private companies will not have to work alone. I would also like to see such effort developed into a continuous and sustainable flagship program that can be carried out together on an annual basis. Initially, we may consider a minimum scale of five



LISTEN TO OUR STAKEHOLDER

Mr. Tawat Apaprasert

Ban Kong Kai Farmer







Charoen Pokphand Group has dispatched a working group to Ban Kong Kai to provide assurance and create trust among the locals as well as to show their sincerity and commitment to create the ultimate benefit for the villagers because people are an integral part in building the villagers' confidence.

However, I wish there were more support in terms of funding to assist the society or communities as we do not yet have sufficient financial resources to carry out some activities on our own.

Charoen Pokphand Group has demonstrated an excellent level of communication. It listens to the problems of the locals of Mae Chaem district and other communities while the working group has a good understanding of the problems. As a result, the outcome matches the demands and expectations of the communities. In addition, community leaders should be drawn in to take part in the implementation of ongoing projects. Ethnic youths should also have the opportunity to work as full-time employees in Charoen Pokphand Group and create jobs in tandem with sustainable community development.

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Rungrat Chaisamrej

Thai Chamber of Commerce Circular Economy and Environment Committee



Government

I think that the project on the lessons-learnt from the implementation of circular economy in the tourism and service business has yielded great benefits on entrepreneurs by sharing knowledge and creating motivation through real examples. Entrepreneurs in many areas have carried out such effort with success and created business value as well as widespread economic contributions in terms of cost reduction and increased returns for SMEs. Personally, in its capacity as a food industry, I think that CP Group's sustainability efforts have been outstanding in food security, such as the promotion and development of innovations related to agriculture and livestock, which are actually one of the causes of global warming. I have seen the Group's commitment to make improvements in this area.

However, this issue is a major global problem which I think CP can further build on and expand. Actions in this regard will not only answer to the question of food sufficiency, but also the adequacy of quality food that is suitable for the society to create sustainability, which should produce a result with clear wide-ranging impact.

Personally, I think there are still gaps in the social dimension of sustainability that can be further developed by CP. For example, in circular economy, there are dimensions that are worth joining hands on with partners, like educational institutions, communities, or other groups, to enable direct experience in knowledge sharing, for which CP Group is already likely to have high resources in order to give back to the society or entrepreneurs.







Material Topics and Stakeholder Engagement Survey 2022 แบบสำรวจประเด็นด้านความยั่งยืน และความผูกพันของผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย ประจำปี 2565

Stakeholder Group : Employees and Families กลุ่มผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย : พนักงานและครอบครัว

The objective of this survey is to learn about your opinion as a stakeholder regarding the relevant sustainability issues. Including opinions on the effectiveness of the company's sustainability management and the level of satisfaction with the stakeholder engagement process. Your input will help us further develop and improve our the company's strategies and processes, enabling us to respond to the needs and expectations of all stakeholder groups more effectively.

Your answers will be analyzed and reported in an aggregate format without disclosing your name or personal identity as a survey respondent. แบบสำรวจนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อสอบถามความคิดเห็นของท่านในฐานะที่เป็นผู้มีส่วนได้เสียที่มีความเห็นต่อประเด็นด้านความยั่งยืนที่เกี่ยวข้อง รวมถึงความคิดเห็นต่อ ประสิทธิภาพการจัดการด้านความยั่งยืนของบริษัทฯ และระดับความพึงพอใจต่อกระบวนการสร้างความผูกพันกับผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย เพื่อนำผลการสำรวจไปดำเนินการ พัฒนาปรับปรุงกลยุทธ์และกระบวนการของบริษัทฯให้ตอบสนองต่อความคาดหวังของผู้มีส่วนได้เสียได้ดีและมีประสิทธิภาพยิ่งขึ้น โดยคำตอบของท่านจะถูกนำมาวิเคราะห์และรายงานผลในภาพรวม โดยไม่ระบุชื่อและตัวตนของผู้ตอบแบบสำรวจ

The question consists of 5 parts as follows: โดยคำถามประกอบด้วย 5 ส่วน ดังนี้

Part I :	General Information (2 questions)
ส่วนที่ 1	ข้อมูลทั่วไป (2 ข้อ)
Part II:	Company's Sustainability Development Effectiveness (15 questions)
ส่วนที่ 2	ด้านประสิทธิผลการดำเนินการด้านความยั่งยืนของบริษัทฯ (15 ข้อ)
Part III:	Company's Materiality Assessment (10 questions)
ส่วนที่ 3	ด้านการประเมินประเด็นความยั่งยืนที่สำคัญของบริษัทฯ (10 ข้อ)
Part IV :	Communication, listening, and responding to company's stakeholders (3 questions)
ส่วนที่ 4	ด้านการสื่อสาร การรับฟังและตอบสนองต่อผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย (3 ข้อ)
Part V :	Overall satisfaction with the company(1 questions)
ส่วนที่ 5	ด้านความพึงพอใจในภาพรวม (1 ข้อ)

Part 1: General Information ข้อมูลทั่วไป (2 ข้อ)

Please mark on the answer that best math of your opinion as follow ทำเครื่องหมาย ลงหน้าคำตอบที่ตรงกับความคิดเห็นของท่านมากที่สุด รายละเอียดดังต่อไปนี้

1	Age อายุ
	O Below 20 years น้อยกว่า 20 ปี
	20-29 years 20 – 29 Ŭ
	○ 30-39 years 30 - 39 Ŭ
	○ 40-49 years 40 – 49 ปี
	○ 50-59 years 50 - 59 Ŭ
	◯ 60 years and above 60 ปีขึ้นไป
2	Gender เพศ
	O Male ชาย
	○ Female หญิง
	🔾 Others อื่น ๆ

ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง

ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง

Part 2: Effectiveness of Company's Sustainability Development 15 Topics under Sustainability Strategy (Heart-Health-Home)

ด้านประสิทธิผลการดำเนินการด้านความยั่งยืนของบริษัทฯ (15 ข้อ)

Please mark on the answer that best math of your opinion as follow ทำเครื่องหมาย ลงหน้าคำตอบที่ตรงกับความคิดเห็นของท่านมากที่สุด รายละเอียดดังต่อไปนี้



To be a leading tech and innovative conglomerate, providing food for body and mind that creates shared value and brings health and well-being for all

		Т	hree-Bene	fit Principle			
	Sufficiency Economy Philosophy		cellence nt Approach	The 10 UNGC Pri 17 UN SDGs &		Regulations and Standards	
	Heart: Living Right		Health:	Living Well		Home: Living Together	
	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	0	HEALTH & WELL-E	BEING	行	CLIMATE RESILIENCE	
0	HUMAN RIGHTS & LABOR PRACTIC	CES	SOCIAL IMPACT & ECONOMIC CON	TRIBUTION		CIRCULAR ECONOMY	
	EDUCATION & INEQUALITY REDUC	CTION	FOOD SECURITY & ACCESS TO NUT	FRITION	0	WATER STEWARDSHIP	
#	LEADERSHIP & HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT	®	INNOVATION		Z	ECOSYSTEM & BIODIVERSITY PROTECTION	
0	CYBER SECURITY & DATA PROTEC	CTION 🕝	STAKEHOLDER EN	IGAGEMENT	∞	RESPONSIBLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT	
	Enables	I has Doutene	ahina Canah	liter and Culture	. f C	Andrea hillian	

1	Corporate governance, such as governance structures, risk management, business compliance and internal audit process, business ethics, and complaint management. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การกำกับดูแลกิจการ เช่น โครงสร้างและกระบวนการกำกับดูแล การบริหารความเสี่ยง การปฏิบัติตามกฎระเบียบ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผล การดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	C Excellent ดีมาก
	\bigcirc Good $ \vec{G} $
	◯ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	○ Very Poor

2	Protection and promotion of human rights and good labor practices, such as comprehensive human rights assessment, equal opportunity, diversity and inclusion, and occupational health and safety of employees and contractors. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การปกป้องและส่งเสริมด้านสิทธิมนุษยชนและการปฏิบัติด้านแรงงาน เช่น การตรวจสอบและจัดการความเสี่ยงด้านสิทธิมนุษยชนอย่าง รอบด้าน ส่งเสริมโอกาสที่เท่าเทียม และความหลากหลายของบุคลากร อาชีวอนามัยและความปลอดภัยของพนักงานและผู้รับเหมา ท่านคิด ว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	() Excellent ดีมาก
	⊖ Good ổ
	○ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	○ Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
3	Promotion of education and reduction of inequality, such as promoting access to quality education, opportunities and lifelong learning for all, scholarships, volunteering for education activities, and quantifying impacts of educational development. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การส่งเสริมด้านศึกษา และลดความเหลื่อมล้ำ เช่น การส่งเสริมการเข้าถึงการศึกษาที่มีคุณภาพ การส่งเสริมโอกาสในการเรียนรู้ตลอด ชีวิตสำหรับทุกคน การสนับสนุนทุนการศึกษา กิจกรรมจิตอาสาเพื่อการศึกษา ผลกระทบของการพัฒนาการศึกษา ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผล การดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	© Excellent ดีมาก
	○ Good ri
	○ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	○ Very Poor

4	Human capital and leadership development such as leadership development, human resource development, talent attraction and retention. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? กระบวนการพัฒนาผู้นำและทรัพยากรบุคคล เช่น การพัฒนาผู้นำ การพัฒนาทรัพยากรบุคคล การดึงดูดและรักษาคนเก่งคนดีไว้กับ องค์กร ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	C Excellent ดีมาก
	○ Good
	○ Fair wอใช้
	O Poor ADSUŠŪUUS့ง
	Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
5	Cyber security and data protection, such as cybersecurity governance, prevention of security breaches of customer personal information, information security process and infrastructure, and employee awareness. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? ความปลอดภัยทางไซเบอร์ และการปกป้องข้อมูล เช่น การกำกับดูแลความปลอดภัยทางไซเบอร์ การป้องกันการละเมิดความปลอดภัยของ ข้อมูลส่วนบุคคลของลูกค้า กระบวนการและโครงสร้างพื้นฐานด้านความมั่นคงปลอดภัยของข้อมูลและสารสนเทศ การสร้างความตระหนัก รู้ของพนักงาน ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	CExcellent ดีมาก
	○ Good ਰ
	○ Fair wอใช้
	○ Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	○ Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง

6	Health and well-being, such as products or services which promote health and well-being, and food safety. In your
	opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? สุขภาพและสุขภาวะที่ดี เช่น การพัฒนาผลิตภัณฑ์หรือบริการที่ช่วยส่งเสริมสุขภาพและสุขภาวะที่ดี ความปลอดภัยด้านอาหาร ท่านคิดว่า บริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	○ Excellent ดีมาก
	○ Good ñ
	○ Fair wอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
_	
7	Social impact and economic contribution, such as promoting occupations to generate income for farmers, SMEs, vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue?
7	vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance
7	vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? คุณค่าและการสร้างผลประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจให้แก่สังคม เช่น การส่งเสริมอาชีพเพื่อสร้างรายได้สำหรับ เกษตรกรรายย่อย ผู้ประกอบ การ SMEs และกลุ่มเปราะบาง กิจกรรมการกุศล การสร้างประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ใน
7	vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? คุณค่าและการสร้างผลประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจให้แก่สังคม เช่น การส่งเสริมอาชีพเพื่อสร้างรายได้สำหรับ เกษตรกรรายย่อย ผู้ประกอบ การ SMEs และกลุ่มเปราะบาง กิจกรรมการกุศล การสร้างประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ใน ระดับใด
7	vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? คุณค่าและการสร้างผลประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจให้แก่สังคม เช่น การส่งเสริมอาชีพเพื่อสร้างรายได้สำหรับ เกษตรกรรายย่อย ผู้ประกอบ การ SMEs และกลุ่มเปราะบาง กิจกรรมการกุศล การสร้างประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ใน ระดับใด Excellent ดีมาก Good
7	vulnerable groups, charity events, and economic benefits. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? คุณค่าและการสร้างผลประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจให้แก่สังคม เช่น การส่งเสริมอาชีพเพื่อสร้างรายได้สำหรับ เกษตรกรรายย่อย ผู้ประกอบ การ SMEs และกลุ่มเปราะบาง กิจกรรมการกุศล การสร้างประโยชน์ทางเศรษฐกิจ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ใน ระดับใด Excellent ดีมาก Good ดี Fair

8	Food security and access to nutrition, such as, project initiatives to eradicate hunger for underprivileged, children, youth, the poor, and people in vulnerable situations to have access to food safety and nutrition, and the food surplus management. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? ความมั่นคงทางอาหาร และการเข้าถึงโภชนาการ เช่น การริเริ่มโครงการเพื่อขจัดความหิวโหย เพื่อส่งเสริมให้ผู้ด้อยโอกาส เด็ก เยาวชน ผู้ ยากใร้ และผู้ที่อยู่ในสถานการณ์เสี่ยงให้ได้รับโอกาสในการเข้าถึงอาหารที่ปลอดภัยและมีคุณค่าทางโภชนาการ การบริหารจัดการอาหาร ส่วนเกินที่เกิดจากการจัดจำหน่าย (Food surplus) ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	C Excellent ดีมาก
	\bigcirc Good $\label{eq:Good}$
	○ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
9	Innovation management, such as research and development (R&D) infrastructure, intellectual property management, building innovative organizations, and innovation of products and services. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การบริหารจัดการนวัตกรรม เช่น การพัฒนาโครงสร้างพื้นฐานด้านการวิจัยและพัฒนา การจัดการทรัพย์สินทางปัญญา การสร้าง องค์กรแห่งนวัตกรรม การสร้างนวัตกรรมของผลิตภัณฑ์และบริการ ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	© Excellent ดีมาก
	○ Good ਰੱ
	○ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	○ Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง

10	Stakeholder engagement, such as conducting stakeholder engagement survey, listening to stakeholder expectatior responding to stakeholder issues and concerns. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on thi issue? การสร้างความผูกพันกับผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย เช่น การสำรวจความผูกพันกับผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย การรับฟังความคิดเห็นจากผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย การ ตอบสนองต่อประเด็นและข้อกังวลของผู้มีส่วนได้เสีย ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	◯ Excellent ดีมาก
	○ Good ñ
	○ Fair พอใช้
	O Poor ควรปรับปรุง
	Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
11	Climate resilience, such as greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction project, increase energy efficiency, reforestation low carbon products, product with environmental labels, and product life cycle assessment (LCA). In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การปรับตัวต่อการเปลี่ยนแปลงสภาพภูมิอากาศ เช่น โครงการลดการปล่อยก๊าซเรือนกระจก การเพิ่มประสิทธิภาพการใช้พลังงาน กาะ ปลูกป่า ผลิตภัณฑ์คาร์บอนต่ำ ผลิตภัณฑ์ที่ได้รับฉลากสิ่งแวดล้อม การประเมินวัฏจักรชีวิต ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงานใน ประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด
	○ Excellent ดีมาก
	⊝ Good ຕັ
	○ Fair wอใช้
	wอใช้ O Poor

 Excellent ดีมาก Good ดี Fair พอใช้ Poor ควรปรับปรุง Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
ดี Fair waใช้ Poor ควรปรับปรุง Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
wอใช้ Poor ควรปรับปรุง Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
ควรปรับปรุง Very Poor ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
ควรปรับปรุงอย่างยิ่ง
Responsible supply chain management, such as high-risk raw material traceability, supplier code of conduct, supplier risk assessment, supplier capacity building, and sustainable procurement. In your opinion, to what extent is the company performance on this issue? การจัดการห่วงโช่อุปทานอย่างรับผิดชอบ เช่น การตรวจสอบย้อนกลับวัตถุดิบที่มีความเสี่ยงสูงด้านความยั่งยืน จรรยาบรรณสำหรับคู่ ค้า การบริหารความเสี่ยงของคู่ค้า การเสริมสร้างศักยภาพของคู่ค้าธุรกิจ การจัดชื้อจัดจ้างยั่งยืน ท่านคิดว่าบริษัทฯ มีผลการดำเนินงาน ในประเด็นนี้ อยู่ในระดับใด Excellent ดีมาก Good ดี Fair พอใช้ Poor ควรปรับปรุง

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group


Appendix

Part 3: Company's Materiality Assessment ด้านการประเมินประเด็นความยั่งยืนที่สำคัญของบริษัทฯ (10 ข้อ)

<u>Please specify the five issues</u> that the company has the greatest opportunity to improve, out of the 23 issues. After selecting all 5 issues, please start the survey to assess the severity and likelihood for each issue until completion.

<u>หมายเหตุ</u> : If you want to change the selected issue, please click on the circle symbol in front of the issue you previously selected before choosing a new issue.

<u>กรุณาเลือกประเด็นด้านความยั่งยืน ที่ท่านเห็นว่าบริษัทฯ ยังมีโอกาสในการ</u>
<u>ปรับปรุงมากที่สุด 5 ประเด็น</u> จากประเด็นด้านความยั่งยืนทั้งหมด 23 ประเด็น โดยหลังจากเลือกครบ 5 ประเด็น กรุณาเริ่มทำแบบสำรวจเพื่อประเมินระดับ ความรุนแรง และโอกาสในการเกิด ของแต่ละประเด็นจนครบ
<u>หมายเหตุ</u> : หากต้องการเปลี่ยนแปลงประเด็นที่ทำการกดเลือกไปแล้ว ให้กดที่ สัญลักษณ์วงกลมหน้าประเด็นที่ท่านเลือกอีกครั้ง



Executive Summary

Part 3: Company's Materiality Assessment

ด้านการประเมินประเด็นความยั่งยืนที่สำคัญของบริษัทฯ (10 ข้อ)

In your opinion, what the level of impact the company's actions in this issue have on you as a stakeholder, in terms of severity, by considering

ท่านมีความเห็นว่าผลกระทบจากการดำเนินการของบริษัทฯในประเด็นนี้ส่งผลกระทบต่อท่านในจานะผู้มีส่วนได้เสียในระดับใด โดยความรนแรงพิจารณาจากสิ่งที่เคย เกิดหรืออาจจะเกิดขึ้นในอนาคต โดยหากเกิดขึ้นแล้วมีความรุนแรงในระดับใด

What is the level of severity of the impacts in each aspect (covering economic / occupational health ,safety and environment / human rights / reputation or image of the organization) in term of Climate Resilience and GHG

Emissions?

<u>ระดับความรุนแรง</u> ของผลกระทบในแต่ละด้าน (ครอบคลุมด้านเศรษฐกิจ / อาชีวอนามัย ความปลอดภัย และสิ่งแวดล้อม / ด้านสิทธิ ุมนุษยชน / ชื่อเสียงหรือภาพลักษณ์องค์กร ในประเด็น <u>การเปลี่ยนแปลงสภาพภูมิอากาศ และการปล่อยก๊าซเรือนกระจก</u>อยู่ในระดับใด

- Critical negative impacts for each aspect involved e.g.
 - · Property damage which affects the ongoing operations
 - Fatality or disability
 - Loss of resources and impact to the widespread environmental and there are many groups of people affected.

 - · Loss of public reputation and confidence at the international level

ส่งผลกระทบเชิงลบในระดับ<mark>วิกฤต</mark>สำหรับแต่ละด้านที่เกี่ยวข้อง เช่น

- ทรัพย์สินเสียหาย ซึ่งกระทบต่อการดำเนินงานที่ต่อเนื่อง
- เสียชีวิต หรือสญเสียอวัยวะ
- สูญเสียทรัพยากรและส่งผลกระทบออกสู่ภายนอกในวงกว้าง และ มีผู้ได้รับผลกระทบหลายกลุ่ม
- กลับคืนส่สภาพเดิมไม่ได้
- เสียชื่อเสียงและความเชื่อมั่นจากสาธารณะชน ในระดับสากล
- Major negative impacts for each aspect involved e.g.
 - · property damage which temporarily affects operations
 - · High consequence injury (not able to work next day from 1 person or 1 day or more).
 - · The Impact to the environment and affected by many groups.
 - Recoverable but with high resource requirements, time-consuming and a lack of social support.

 - ส่งผลกระทบเชิงลบในระดับ<mark>รนแรง</mark>สำหรับแต่ละด้านที่เกี่ยวข้อง เช่น
 - ทรัพย์สินเสียหาย ซึ่งกระทบต่อการดำเนินงานชั่วคราว บาดเจ็บถึงขั้นหยดงาน มากกว่า 1 คน หรือ 1 วัน ขึ้นไป
 - ส่งผลกระทบออกส่ภายนอก และมีผู้ได้รับผลกระทบหลายกลุ่ม
 - กลับคืนสู่สภาพเดิมได้ (ทรัพยากรสูง ใช้เวลานาน /ไม่มีความช่วยเหลือทางสังคมรองรับ)
 - เสียชื่อเสียงและความเชื่อมั่นจากสาธารณะชน ในระดับภมิภาค
- Moderately negative impacts for each aspect involved e.g.
 - Property damage that affects the operation of some activities.
 - High consequence injury (not able to work next day from 1 person or 1 day).
 - The Impact to the environment and moderately affecting the way of living.
 - · Recoverable but with high resource requirements, moderate time-consuming and social support.
 - Loss of public reputation and confidence at the country level.

ส่งผลกระทบเชิงลบในระดับ<mark>ปานกลาง</mark>สำหรับแต่ละด้านที่เกี่ยวข้อง เช่น

- ทรัพย์สินเสียหาย ซึ่งกระทบต่อการดำเนินงานของบางกิจกรรม
- บาดเจ็บถึงขั้นหยดงาน 1 คน หรือ 1 วัน
- ส่งผลกระทบออกสภายนอก และส่งผลต่อการดำรงชีวิตในระดับปานกลาง
- กลับคืนสู่สภาพเดิมได้ (ทรัพยากรสูง ใช้เวลาปานกลาง/ มีความช่วยเหลือทางสังคมรองรับ)
- เสียชื่อเสียงและความเชื่อมั่นจากสาธารณะชน ในระดับประเทศ

- Minor negative impacts for each aspect involved e.g.
 - · Property damage but does not affect operations
 - Injuries that require hospitalization.
 - . The Impact to the environment and minor affect on the way of living.
 - · Can be recovered quickly with limited resources.
 - Loss of public reputation and confidence at the local level.

ส่งผลกระทบเชิงลบในระดับ<mark>น้อย</mark>สำหรับแต่ละด้านที่เกี่ยวข้อง เช่น

- ทรัพย์สินเสียหาย แต่ไม่กระทบต่อการดำเนินงาน
- บาดเจ็บที่ต้องเข้ารักษาในโรงพยาบาล
- ส่งผลกระทบออกสู่ภายนอก และส่งผลต่อการดำรงชีวิตในระดับเล็กน้อย
- กลับคืนสู่สภาพเดิมได้ (ใช้เวลารวดเร็ว ใช้ทรัพยากรจำกัด)
- เสียชื่อเสียงและความเชื่อมั่นจากสาธารณะชน ในระดับในระดับท้องถิ่น
- Very low negative impacts for each aspect involved e.g.
 - Minor property damage
 - · First aid injuries
 - No impact to the environment
 - · Immediate recovery
 - Loss of public reputation and confidence from individual stakeholders

ส่งผลกระทบเชิงลบในระดับต่ำมากสำหรับแต่ละด้านที่เกี่ยวข้อง เช่น

- ทรัพย์สิบเสียหายเล็กบ้อย
- บาดเจ็บขั้นปฐมพยาบาล
- ไม่ส่งผลกระทบออกสู่ภายนอก
- กลับคืนส่สภาพเดิมได้ทันที
- เสียชื่อเสียงและความเชื่อมั่นจากผู้มีส่วนได้เสียระดับบุคคล

What is the level of likelihood regarding the actual impact or potential impact of the company's actions on Climate Resilience and GHG Emissions?

<u>โอกาสในการเกิด</u> ผลกระทบที่เกิดขึ้นจริง หรือผลกระทบที่มีโอกาสจะเกิดในอนาคต จากการดำเนินการของบริษัทฯ ในประเด็น <u>การ</u> เปลี่ยนแปลงสภาพภูมิอากาศ และการปล่อยก๊าซเรือนกระจกอยู่ในระดับใด

- O Has been a regular occurrence in the past and present (once in monthly or quarterly). In the future ,quite certainly to occur, or high frequency occurs.
 - เกิดขึ้นอย่างสม่ำเสมอทั้งในอดีตและปัจจุบัน (พบปัญหาทุกไตรมาส หรือทุกเดือน) /ในอนาคตมีความเป็นไปได้ที่จะเกิดค่อนข้าง แน่นอน มีโอกาสเกิดสง
- Has been a regular occurrence in the past and present (once in a year), /In the future, have occurred often. เกิดขึ้นสม่ำเสมอทั้งในอดีตและปัจจุบัน <u>(พบปัญหาทุกปี)</u> /ในอนาคตมีโอกาสเกิดขึ้นได้บ่อยๆ
- Has been a regular occurrence in the past and present (once in 2 to 3 years). In the future, likely to occur sometime, has already occurred annually. ้ เกิดขึ้นสม่ำเสมอทั้งในอดีตและปัจจุบัน <u>(พบปัญหา 2-3 ปีครั้ง)</u>/ในอนาคตน่าจะเกิดขึ้นได้ในสถานการณ์ส่วนใหญ่ เกิดขึ้นทุกปี
- Has occurred in the past but has not occurred in the past 3 to 5 years. /In the future not likely to occur in
- normal situations, there must be many driving factors. ้ เกิดขึ้นในอดีต แต่ปัญหา<mark>ไม่เกิดขึ้นในช่วง 3-5 ปีที่ผ่านมา</mark>/ในอนาคตไม่น่าจะเกิดขึ้นในสถานการณ์ปกติ ต้องมีปัจจัยเสริมหลาย
- Has never occurred in the past /and has a low likelihood of occurring in the future. ไม่เคยเกิดขึ้นในอดีต /ในอนาคตความน่าจะเป็นน้อยมากที่จะเกิดขึ้น

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Report 2022

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Engagement Survey

Stakeholder Group

Appendix

Part 5: Overall satisfaction with the company
ด้านความพึงพอใจในภาพรวม (1 ข้อ)

Please mark on the answer that best math of your opinion as follow ทำเครื่องหมาย ลงหน้าคำตอบที่ตรงกับความคิดเห็นของท่านมากที่สุด รายละเอียดดังต่อไปนี้

1	How satisfied are you with the company's overall business performances? ท่านมีความพึงพอใจโดยรวมต่อบริษัทฯ อยู่ในระดับใด
	◯ Very satisfied พึงพอใจมากที่สุด
	◯ Satisfied พึงพอใจมาก
	O Neutral ปานกลาง
	Obissatisfied พึงพอใจน้อย
	◯ Very dissatisfied พึงพอใจน้อยที่สุด
2	Additional suggestions ข้อเสนอแนะเพิ่มเติม
	Please specified







Charoen Pokphand Group Co., Ltd.

313 C.P. Tower 14th, 16th Floor, Silom Road, Silom, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500 Thailand

+66(0)-2766-8000

Email: prcpgroup@cp.co.th